

Project Fair Proposal Проектное предложение

Тип проекта Project Type	Исследовательский Research
Язык проекта Language	Английский English
Название проекта Project Title	Grading Inflation at Universities: Systematic Review
Подразделение инициатор проекта Initiator branch	Центр прикладных исследований и разработок НИУ ВШЭ – Санкт-Петербург
Руководитель проекта Project manager	Терников Андрей Александрович Andrei A. Ternikov
Со-руководитель Co-head	Бляхер Михаил Леонидович Mikhail L. Blyakher
Основная проектная идея / описание решаемой проблемы Main idea and description	Grading Inflation – the process of gradual increase of mean GPA (Grade Point Average) among students. Consequences of this phenomenon are in high debate in educational and scientific fields. It affects the quality of formal assessment (grading policy), stimulating an opportunistic behavior of educational process participants. However, the problem demands a systematic analysis of the best practices implemented at universities and high school. It includes both: the review of mechanisms that prevent Grading Inflation and the sources of its origin.
Цель проекта Project aim	Conduct a systematic literature review about Grading Inflation phenomenon and the mechanisms of its prevention
Планируемые результаты проекта, специальные или функциональные требования к результату Expected learning results	Students “be able” and “know how”: -to conduct and systemize information from academic literature; -to formulate research hypotheses; -to use specific bibliographic software. Project results are prepared in the form of systematic literature review, including the following fields: -author, year, title of research paper; -formatted citation; -abstract (if available); -main idea; -main findings; -main results; -methods; -data (if applicable).
Требования к участникам с указанием ролей в проектной команде при групповых проектах Requirements	- ability to work with a large amount of information

Количество вакантных мест на проекте Vacant places	20
Проектное задание Project task	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Students are distributed into groups, depending on their main educational direction. 2. Project manager assigns to each group the field and direction of research (e.g. social aspect, economic aspect, legal aspect), providing an information about academic search strategies and the tricks of its organization. 3. Students discover academic literature and verify their choice with Project manager. 4. Students provide the table with relevant information from articles in accordance with standardized form (given by Project manager). 5. Students discuss any findings and milestones of the project implementation process with Project manager. 6. Students present (in groups) their findings to the other project participants and Project manager.
Критерии отбора студентов Criteria	Motivation letter
Сроки и график реализации проекта Timing	20.09.2021 – 10.12.2021
Трудоемкость (часы в неделю) на одного участника Intensity	8
Количество кредитов Credits	4
Форма итогового контроля Final assessment	Экзамен Exam
Формат представления результатов, который подлежит оцениванию Result format	Structured table with analyzed academic sources (individual); Presentation (group)
Образовательные результаты проекта Educational results	Students “be able” and “know how”: -to conduct and systemize information from academic literature; -to formulate research hypotheses; -to use specific bibliographic software.
Критерии оценивания результатов проекта с указанием всех требований и параметров Criteria	<p>O1 – intensity in participation (questions, discussions): 0 – no; 1 – the proposal does not affect the general research methodology; 2 – the proposal affects the general research methodology.</p> <p>O2 – fulfillment of the final table with sources 0 – less 30%; 1 – 30-50%;</p>

	<p>2 – 50-70%; 3 – more 70%.</p> <p>O3 – presentation report (the sum of the following components): –participated (1 if yes, 0 otherwise); –correctly formulated research hypotheses (1 – yes, 0 – otw.); –the literature is systemized by several criteria (1 – yes, 0 – otw.); –main results and conclusions are specified (1 – yes, 0 – otw.); –presentation is made in accurate manner (1 – yes, 0 – otw.).</p> <p>Resulting grade: O1 + O2 + O3</p>
<p>Возможность пересдач при получении неудовлетворительной оценки Retake</p>	No
<p>Рекомендуемые образовательные программы Educational programmes</p>	All
<p>Территория Territory</p>	<p>Удаленно Remote</p>